United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

May 30, 2023 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS VICTORIA DIVISION

CARY DUANE CANTWELL,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	Civil Action No. 6:22-CV-00046
	§	
BOBBY LUMPKIN,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pending before the Court is the May 12, 2023, Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") prepared by Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock. (Dkt. No. 13). Magistrate Judge Neurock made findings and conclusions and recommended that Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to Rule 59(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, (Dkt. No. 12), be denied. (Dkt. No. 13 at 2–3). Magistrate Judge Neurock further recommends that a certificate of appealability be denied in this case. (*Id.* at 3–4).

The Parties were provided proper notice and the opportunity to object to the M&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). On May 22, 2023, Plaintiff filed two objections. (Dkt. No. 14). First, Plaintiff asserts a double jeopardy claim by stating that "he was found guilty by the jury, and then also found guilty by the trial judge at the time of his sentencing." (Dkt. No. 14 at 2–3). Second, Plaintiff argues that he has properly stated a claim for abandonment of counsel. (*Id.* at 3–4).

In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court is required to "make a de

novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified

proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made." After

conducting this de novo review, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in

part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." Id.; see also Fed.

R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

The Court has carefully considered de novo those portions of the M&R to which

objection was made, and reviewed the remaining proposed findings, conclusions, and

recommendations for plain error. Finding no error, the Court accepts the M&R and

adopts it as the opinion of the Court. It is therefore ordered that:

(1) Magistrate Judge Neurock's M&R, (Dkt. No. 13), is ACCEPTED and

ADOPTED in its entirety as the holding of the Court;

(2) Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.

Rule 59(e), (Dkt. No. 12), is **DENIED**; and

(3) A certificate of appealability is **DENIED**.

It is SO ORDERED.

Signed on May 28, 2023.

DREW B. TIPTO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2